

Issues in assessing the standard of living of the population

Muqaddas Umarova *

Professor of the Department of Business Administration, at MU University.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 28(02), 1238-1241

Publication history: Received on 06 October 2025; revised on 11 November 2025; accepted on 14 November 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.28.2.3847>

Abstract

Assessing the standard of living is one of the most important challenges in socio-economic research and policy analysis. The standard of living reflects not only the material well-being of the population but also access to social services, employment, health care, education, and environmental quality. This article examines the methodological and analytical issues in measuring the standard of living, discusses key indicators used in international and national practices, and proposes recommendations for improving the assessment framework in developing economies such as Uzbekistan.

Keywords: Standard Of Living; Well-Being; Poverty; Income Inequality; Human Development; Quality Of Life; Socio-Economic Indicators

1. Introduction

The assessment of the standard of living of the population has long been one of the fundamental directions in socio-economic research, serving as an essential criterion for evaluating the effectiveness of national development strategies and public policy outcomes. In modern economic thought, the concept of the standard of living is considered not only a reflection of people's material wealth but also a complex multidimensional system that encompasses the quality of life, access to basic needs, and the degree of social inclusion. A society's level of welfare ultimately determines the stability of its economic system, the legitimacy of its governance institutions, and the potential for long-term sustainable development.

In the 20th century, the rapid industrialization of developed countries, followed by the globalization and digitalization of economies, significantly changed both the content and measurement of living standards. The early interpretation of the standard of living was primarily based on income and consumption indicators, such as real wages, household expenditures, and GDP per capita. However, with the growing recognition that economic growth does not automatically translate into social well-being, researchers began incorporating qualitative dimensions such as education, health care, housing, environmental quality, and cultural participation into the evaluation process. This shift marked the transition from a purely economic understanding of welfare to a broader human-centered approach.

Modern social economics emphasizes that the standard of living is determined not only by the quantity of goods and services available but also by the capability of individuals to use them effectively to improve their lives. This approach, pioneered by Nobel laureate Amartya Sen, highlights that personal freedom, equal opportunities, and access to social institutions play decisive roles in human development. Therefore, assessing living standards requires integrating economic indicators with social and institutional parameters such as employment security, life expectancy, access to education, and environmental sustainability.

From a methodological standpoint, one of the central challenges lies in selecting and systematizing appropriate indicators that can capture the real socio-economic situation of households. Differences in data collection systems, the

* Corresponding author: Muqaddas Umarova

informal economy, regional disparities, and cultural factors complicate international comparability. Moreover, subjective perceptions of well-being—measured through self-reported satisfaction and happiness surveys often diverge from objective economic measures, revealing the complex and sometimes contradictory nature of human welfare.

For developing countries, including Uzbekistan, assessing the standard of living is not merely a statistical exercise but a key instrument of state policy. It allows the government to identify vulnerable groups, evaluate the impact of reforms, and prioritize social investments in health, education, and housing. Given the ongoing structural transformation of the national economy, the growth of urbanization, and the influence of global crises, comprehensive and methodologically consistent measurement of living standards becomes particularly important for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and ensuring inclusive growth.

2. Literature review

The study of the standard of living has evolved through several theoretical stages, reflecting shifts in economic paradigms and global development priorities. In classical political economy, scholars such as Adam Smith (1776) and David Ricardo (1817) emphasized the relationship between productivity, income, and consumption as the primary determinants of human welfare. Smith's concept of the "necessaries and conveniences of life" established the earliest foundation for linking economic output to societal well-being. Ricardo later highlighted the importance of wages and distribution in shaping living conditions, while Karl Marx (1867) examined how economic structures and ownership relations generate inequalities that directly affect standards of living.

In the Keynesian era, following the Great Depression, attention shifted from wealth accumulation to employment, aggregate demand, and public welfare. John Maynard Keynes (1936) argued that improving living standards requires active government intervention to ensure full employment and social stability. His ideas led to the establishment of welfare-state models in many developed countries, where income redistribution and public services became key instruments of social policy.

During the post-war decades, the measurement of living standards expanded beyond monetary metrics. Simon Kuznets (1955) introduced the concept of income inequality as a central factor in understanding development dynamics. His famous "Kuznets Curve" proposed that inequality initially rises and later falls with economic growth a hypothesis that remains influential in empirical studies. Simultaneously, Richard Stone (1947) and the United Nations Statistical Division formalized the System of National Accounts (SNA), which allowed for consistent cross-country comparisons of economic performance and welfare indicators.

Recent literature increasingly integrates subjective well-being and happiness economics into the analysis of living standards. Studies by Kahneman and Deaton (2010) show that income increases happiness only up to a certain threshold, after which social relations, health, and perceived fairness become more influential. The rise of Big Data, digital surveys, and composite indicators has further diversified methodological tools for evaluating welfare, allowing real-time monitoring of public sentiment and consumption behavior.

3. Analysis and Results

The analysis of the population's standard of living should go beyond numerical indicators and focus on the qualitative relationships among economic, social, and institutional factors that determine well-being. A holistic evaluation of living conditions must consider access to resources, opportunities for personal development, and the ability of citizens to participate fully in economic and social life.

In this context, the assessment of living standards can be divided into two analytical dimensions economic well-being and human development quality. These two pillars complement each other: while economic well-being ensures material security, human development reflects the potential for sustainable and inclusive growth.

Table 1 Main Dimensions of Economic Well-Being

Dimension	Core Components	Interpretation
Income and consumption	Level of household income, purchasing power, affordability of goods and services	Determines the ability of people to satisfy basic material needs and maintain social stability
Employment and labor security	Job availability, decent working conditions, fair wages	Indicates opportunities for self-sufficiency and long-term welfare
Income equality	Balanced distribution of income and property among population groups	Reflects fairness in access to national wealth and social justice
Economic environment	Stability of prices, investment climate, and financial accessibility	Shapes overall confidence in future and resilience to crises

Source: Developed by the author

Economic well-being forms the material foundation of the standard of living. The presence of stable income and fair employment conditions allows individuals to meet essential needs and plan for the future. However, economic prosperity alone cannot guarantee well-being if inequality remains high or if the economic environment lacks inclusiveness. The relationship between income equality and living standards is especially significant — societies with more balanced income distribution tend to experience stronger social cohesion and lower poverty risks. Thus, improving the economic dimension of living standards requires a system of policies that promote employment, ensure fair wages, and enhance economic participation, especially for vulnerable social groups such as women, youth, and rural populations.

Table 2 Main Dimensions of Human Development and Quality of Life

Dimension	Core Components	Interpretation
Health and longevity	Quality of healthcare, access to medical services, healthy environment	Reflects physical and mental well-being and human potential for productivity
Education and knowledge	Availability and quality of education, digital literacy, lifelong learning	Determines intellectual capital and social mobility
Social inclusion	Equal access to opportunities, social protection, civic participation	Ensures stability and integration of different population groups
Environment and living conditions	Housing, ecological quality, access to clean resources	Represents sustainability and safety of human living space

Source: Developed by the author

Human development serves as the qualitative dimension of the standard of living. It embodies not only the state of health and education but also the dignity, freedom, and equality of citizens. A society with strong human development ensures that people can transform their economic resources into real opportunities the ability to live long, productive, and meaningful lives.

Access to quality education and health care is crucial, as it directly influences labor productivity, civic engagement, and innovation potential. Environmental conditions and social protection mechanisms also play decisive roles in maintaining balanced living standards. Therefore, strategies aimed at improving human development should focus on creating equal opportunities, sustainable urban and rural environments, and strong institutional systems that protect human dignity.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

Enhancing the methodology for assessing the standard of living requires an integrated approach that combines economic, social, and environmental dimensions of human welfare. First, it is recommended to adopt a multidimensional evaluation framework that reflects not only income and consumption but also the accessibility of education, healthcare, housing, and social protection. A comprehensive system of indicators must balance objective and subjective measures, ensuring that both statistical data and people's self-assessment of well-being are considered.

Second, governments and research institutions should focus on institutionalizing continuous monitoring of living conditions through qualitative surveys and community-based assessments. Strengthening the analytical capacity of national statistical agencies and improving data comparability with international standards will make evaluations more accurate and policy-relevant. Special attention should be paid to regional and social disparities, as uneven development leads to long-term inequality and social exclusion.

Third, improving living standards requires not only measuring outcomes but also addressing the structural causes of deprivation. Policies that expand employment opportunities, support small and medium enterprises, and ensure gender and youth inclusion are essential. Furthermore, investment in education, healthcare, and digital infrastructure will create a sustainable foundation for long-term human development and resilience to global economic shocks.

In conclusion, the assessment of the standard of living should be viewed as both a scientific and policy instrument that bridges statistical measurement with social transformation. A nation's true progress lies in its ability to translate economic growth into tangible improvements in human well-being, equity, and dignity. Building a society where economic development and social justice reinforce each other is the ultimate measure of an improved standard of living.

References

- [1] Adam Smith (1776). *An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*. London: W. Strahan and T. Cadell.
- [2] David Ricardo (1817). *On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation*. London: John Murray.
- [3] Karl Marx (1867). *Das Kapital: Kritik der politischen Ökonomie*. Hamburg: Otto Meissner Verlag.
- [4] Keynes, J. M. (1936). *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money*. London: Macmillan.
- [5] Kuznets, S. (1955). "Economic Growth and Income Inequality." *American Economic Review*, 45 (1), 1–28.
- [6] Stone, R. (1947). "Definition and Measurement of the National Income and Related Totals." United Nations Statistical Office.
- [7] United Nations Statistical Division (1953). *A System of National Accounts and Supporting Tables (SNA 1953)*. New York: United Nations.
- [8] Kahneman, D., and Deaton, A. (2010). "High Income Improves Evaluation of Life but Not Emotional Well-Being." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107 (38), 16489–16493.
- [9] Stiglitz, J. E., Sen, A., and Fitoussi, J.-P. (2009). *Report by the Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress*. Paris: Commission on the Measurement of Economic Performance and Social Progress.
- [10] Sen, A. (1985). *Commodities and Capabilities*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- [11] Nussbaum, M. (2000). *Women and Human Development: The Capabilities Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Haq, M. ul (1990). *Human Development Report 1990*. New York: United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).
- [13] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2011). *How's Life? Measuring Well-Being*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- [14] G'oyibnazarov, B. K., and Turg'unov, T. S. (2009). Development of the social sphere under market conditions. *Economy and Education*, (6), 19–20.
- [15] G'oyibnazarov, B. K., and Turg'unov, T. S. (2018). Statistical analysis of household incomes and expenditures in Uzbekistan. *Scientific Electronic Journal "Economy and Innovative Technologies"*, (3), May–June.
- [16] G'oyibnazarov, B. K., and Turg'unov, T. S. (2018). Statistical assessment of the population's welfare. *Finance (Moliya)*, (4), 120–129.
- [17] G'oyibnazarov, B. K. (2005). *Statistical assessment of the population's standard of living*. Tashkent: Fan Publishing.
- [18] Turg'unov, T. S. (2018). Statistical analysis of monetary incomes and expenditures of the population in Uzbekistan. *Scientific Electronic Journal "Finance and Banking"*, (2).
- [19] Turg'unov, T. S. (2018). Statistical evaluation of living conditions of the population. *Scientific Electronic Journal "Economy and Innovative Technologies"*, (6), November–December.