World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Patients Awareness and Adherence in Implant Maintenance Post-Rehabilitation: A Narrative Review

Sharukeshi Prahaladhan, Priyadharshini K, Russia M *, Vidyashree Nandini V and Manjula G

Department of Prosthodontics and Crown & Bridge, SRM Kattankulathur Dental College and Hospital, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Chengalpattu, 603 203. Tamil Nadu, India.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 28(02), 2073-2078

Publication history: Received on 11 October 2025; revised on 20 November 2025; accepted on 22 November 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.28.2.3786

Abstract

Dental implants have revolutionized restorative dentistry by providing patients who require oral rehabilitation consistent outcomes in appearance and function. However, the long-term success of implants relies to a great extent on the degree to which patients obey the rules and maintain them subsequent to rehabilitation. Peri-implant disease remains frequent despite the improvement in implants and techniques. This is largely due to individuals not adhering to their rehabilitation. This review consolidates that which is already known concerning the behavioral, clinical, and psychosocial determinants influencing the quality of care that individuals provide for their implants. More recent longitudinal and cross-sectional studies reveal that peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis could be prevented by regular follow-up appointments, tailored hygiene recommendations, and patient education. Adherence patterns are influenced by factors such as motivation, satisfaction, socioeconomic status, and overall health. It is stressed that peri-implant maintenance should be a collaborative effort among patients and clinicians. Novel approaches such as digital monitoring, multidisciplinary follow-up clinics, and educational support are also discussed. The aim of the review is to synthesize evidence regarding the influence of patient-related factors on long-term implant success and to propose improvements in compliance behavior and clinical outcome in the future.

Keywords: Dental Implants; Patient Compliance; Maintenance Therapy; Peri-Implant Diseases; Patient Education; Rehabilitation

1. Introduction

Dental implant treatment has emerged as the pillar of contemporary restorative dentistry, providing reliable and cosmetically acceptable rehabilitation for partially or completely edentulous patients. Development of implant surfaces, prosthetic concepts, and surgical techniques has resulted in success rates frequently reaching over 95% by a decade. Consequently, contemporary implantology has shifted its focus from surgical survival to the biological and behavioral factors influencing long-term maintenance outcomes [1–3]. With successful osseointegration, the longevity of implant-supported prostheses depends on continuous maintenance and strict patient compliance with recommended hygiene regimes. It was identified in a longitudinal study that consistent follow-up in peri-implant maintenance therapy for 11 years minimized peri-implantitis incidence in comparison to non-compliant patients [1]. Consequently, it was observed that patients showing high compliance to preventive maintenance therapy had lower prevalence rates of both peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis [2]. Peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis are principally biofilm-mediated inflammatory diseases complicated by poor oral hygiene and infrequent professional treatment [3]. It was shown that with better technology of implants, disease prevalence rates are high across the globe, bearing witness to widespread compliance issues [4]. This highlights the fact that implant success is not only clinically linked but also behavioral in character and requires ongoing motivation and education. Maintenance regimens connect surgical success with long-

* Corresponding author: Russia M.

term functional stability. Few studies have shown that individualized hygiene instructions, recall appointments at appropriate times, and plaque control methods are the most important factors in maintenance treatment for maintaining healthy tissue around implants [5]. Yet, since various practitioners do not always heed hygiene guidance and recall schedules, patients do not always adhere to instructions. A survey indicated that there were striking discrepancies in practitioners' choices regarding maintenance, indicating that there are no strict regulations for post-implantation follow-up [6]. Effective maintenance systems in general practice clinics are required. It was mentioned that regular recall schemes, patient-tailored oral hygiene plans, and consistent support from dental teams highly promote compliance [7]. These systems show a team partnership in which patients and clinicians work together to achieve long-term results. Patient's beliefs and knowledge about implant therapy play a significant role in patient compliance behavior. It was examined that patients' perception pre- and post-treatment revealed that patients were extremely satisfied with the function and appearance of their implants, but their knowledge of maintenance needs remained limited [8]. Identical main knowledge gaps concerning oral hygiene habits, recall intervals, and early signs of peri-implant disease were also observed [9]. This imbalance of cognition may result in negligence and hence legislation breaking, including missed maintenance and biologic problems. And besides, disobeying rules can have severe repercussions.

The poor maintenance is one of the primary reasons for implant failure, stressing that failure to follow up professionally and personally immediately leads to the deterioration of peri-implant tissue [10]. Similarly, it was identified that natural teeth under frequent periodontal care tended to have survival rates equal to or even better than those of poorly maintained implants [11]. These findings affirm that long-term implant stability is as much, if not more, dependent on care compared to the care of natural teeth with periodontal maintenance. A multidisciplinary maintenance index was developed to modify behavioral variations. This index addresses frequency of recall, professional evaluation, and motivation of patients, which greatly enhances compliance [12].

Reinforcement strategies and education offered by doctors increase cooperation and compliance among patients. Socioeconomic status factors as well as systems influence maintenance behavior. It was found that socioeconomic status, the availability of access to care, and the perceived value of maintenance visits had a great influence on adherence rates [13]. Personalized maintenance regimens have been found to improve survival of implants in medically compromised patients, including diabetics or autoimmune patients. Recall visits were shown to be a key factor that improves the prognosis of medically compromised patients with systemic disease [14, 15]. Aesthetic satisfaction and long-term function correlate with maintenance compliance were found to be proven by an study [16,17]. Function, aesthetics, and sound satisfaction promote participation in a maintenance program [18]. Management of implants encompasses several aspects, namely clinical, behavioral, and educational. The subsequent discussion of these interactions in more detail is based on peer-reviewed literature to emphasize methods for awareness-raising, improving compliance, and ultimately ensuring the longevity of implants. This study identify relevant clinical and behavioral factors that improve compliance and prevent peri-implant diseases; and also to assess the effect of patient knowledge and compliance on long-term care and success of implants.

2. Material and methods

We utilized the narrative literature review approach. We conducted a search of the relevant studies on PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar with keywords such as dental implant care, patient compliance, prevention of peri-implantitis, and patient adherence. We included studies that are cross-sectional or longitudinal, published between 2010 and 2024.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Group – A: Patient-Related Factors Influencing Implant Maintenance

3.1.1. Patient Awareness of Dental Implant Maintenance

Implant aftercare entails the knowledge of the needs of the body and the commitment of the patient. Though the strength of implants has increased with advancements in materials and technology, it is mostly the human aspects that are the key to their performance in practical use. Preventive check-ups also play a significant role in avoiding complications with implants, reports claim. It was shown that remaining consistent with regular maintenance for 11 years dropped the probability of disease by more than half, yielding one of the most powerful collections of evidence relating behavior to outcome [1]. It was found that those patients who do not conform to their care protocol have appreciably elevated instances of peri-implantitis.

3.1.2. Factors Influencing Adherence

Success in implant recovery in the long run depends on maintenance therapy, which is equally important as performing the surgery itself [1]. Even with the evident advantages, full compliance with maintenance prescriptions is still difficult. Poor self-care practices have been reported in many studies to be commonly associated with peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis, and infrequent attendance for maintenance [2,6]. The need for continuous counseling and education of the patient is underlined also because possible differences in therapeutic standards and unequal practitioner reinforcement could further reduce adherence [5,6].

Patient-related factors influencing compliance involve motivation, self-esteem, and satisfaction. Individuals are most likely to adhere to recommended care schedules when they understand the importance of regular follow-up and observe identifiable functional and esthetic benefits [15,16]. Motivational reinforcement, personalized oral hygiene instruction, and regular plaque control have been shown to enhance compliance while reducing peri-implant complications [1,3]. Clinicians are also critical because they help raise trust levels and improve the willingness of patients to follow maintenance instructions [6]. Inadequate follow-up and lack of organized mechanisms of recall have been linked to bone resorption and implant failure [9]. Standardized recall mechanisms and multidisciplinary maintenance protocols can significantly improve long-term therapy outcome and compliance [11].

3.1.3. Importance of Regular Maintenance

A maintenance scale with motivational interviewing, check-ups, and reminders was developed to promote sustained participation [12]. It was demonstrated that socioeconomically fitted maintenance schedules enhance adherence and outcomes. Tailored systems of follow-up can enhance the life of healthy patients. Good care can enhance survival for high-risk patients. In addition to planning and education, psychological and motivational support is increasingly popular. Patients should be advised to use soft-bristled brushes and non-abrasive toothpaste to avoid surface damage. Modified Bass or circular brushing techniques can be demonstrated to patients and it is effectively in order to clean the peri-implant margins. Interdental brushes or floss with stiff ends can also be used to remove plaque in areas difficult to access. Regular reinforcement at recall visits improves compliance and long-term health of the peri-implant tissues.

3.2. Group – B: Technology and Clinical ways to Long-Term Care

3.2.1. Technology and Strategies for Enhanced Compliance

Telemonitoring systems with electronic devices and compliance measures give immediate feedback, keeping patients active and allowing physicians to identify problems early. Mobile health applications remind, teach, and monitor to assist in healthy behaviors. Clinicians have to encourage patients on every visit. It was discovered that follow-up appointments motivate patients to consult more than ordinary checkups [19]. The clinicians who teach and educate patients achieve higher adherence. Educational technology such as hands-on training, computerized simulations, and interactive hygiene video games enhances memory and performance [20]. This approach supports the use of classical pedagogy and contemporary patient activation approaches that incorporate interaction and individualization. There is a need for extra research into system-level health issues.

3.2.2. Home Care Protocol

People with diabetes, autoimmune disorders, and those with persistent health problems need to be under constant observation and have their treatment adjusted accordingly. To prevent peri-implant mucositis and peri-implantitis home care hygiene is necessary. Patients must maintain their plaque control by brushing daily with soft-bristled brushes and also interdental aids and by using non-abrasive toothpaste [3,5].

Community nurses and caregivers play crucial role in helping maintain regular oral hygiene in older or medically compromised patients and ensuring that regularly follow-up appointments are made. They can communicate with dental specialists for timely interventions, assist in plaque cleaning procedures, and detect early signs of inflammation [3,5,6,11]. It has been shown that routine incorporation and training of nursing staff improve compliance while reducing peri-implant complications in dependent elderly individuals [11,13]. Furthermore, a multidisciplinary approach involving dentists, hygienists, and caregivers ensures systematic maintenance protocols and improves coordination of home care for patients with systemic conditions or lessen dexterity [6,14]. Individualized hygiene instruction and reinforcement on recall visits enhance compliance and long-term outcomes.

3.2.3. Emergency and Adjunct Therapies

The use of information-wave therapy was explored as a means to improve the rehabilitation of implants. Additional procedures enhance healing and adhesion by being more precise in traditional maintenance procedures. Clinical assessment of immediate loading protocols reveals that implant survival relies on sustained maintenance collaboration despite quicker rehabilitation [21]. Regular recall treatments improve the stability of prosthetics and comfort in the mouth in the mini-implant-denture therapy process [22].

3.2.4. Strategies to Improve Awareness and Adherence

Customized recommendations keep biological problems controlled, and patients feel more participative in the process. Individuals continue to struggle with gaining routine maintenance care due to socioeconomic disparities. Formulating public health policy that minimizes expense and fosters support among communities for follow-up on implants can assist more individuals in adhering to their treatment. Though emphasis is usually placed on having patients become aware, it's equally true that clinicians need to be aware as well. Practitioners need to know the psychological and social determinants of adherence and employ behavioral science concepts in their practice. A gentle conversation with patients and employing motivational strategies can actually have an impact on how patients behave. Evidence indicates that patient education, satisfaction, and systematic recall systems are all related. Based on the results of studies, the most successful types of compliance with treatment plans are those in which patients and clinicians are mutually interested in cooperating. Patients can assist in maintaining a healthy environment around implants if they are fully informed and clinicians are positively involved in the process.

3.3. Strengths and Limitations

Various research designs, including cross-sectional, longitudinal, and scoping studies, are considered in this review to have a better understanding of the determinants that affect patients' compliance with implant care. If we consider clinical, behavioral, and socioeconomic determinants, we will be in a position to have a better idea of the factors that lead to variability in compliance. The data on the other hand is qualitative, and since the review is a narrative review, it cannot be verified by systematic meta-analysis. Extrapolation of findings from one study to another is not possible because variations exist between the study design, the population size, and the maintenance requirements. In addition, the factors of motivation and awareness were frequently measured in a subjective manner, and the results of these measurements varied from study to study. The use of conventional methods of determining adherence, long-term observation of behavior, and electronic monitoring should be prioritized in future research in order to obtain data that is more accurate. Table 1 gives the summary of factors which influences the maintenance adherence.

Table 1 Summary of Factors Influencing Implant Maintenance Adherence

Category	Determinants	Representative References
Behavioural Factors	Motivation, satisfaction, awareness, self-efficacy	[1,2,16,17,18]
Educational Factors	Individualized hygiene instruction, clinician counselling	[5,6,19]
Clinical Factors	Regular recalls, maintenance protocols, professional assessment	[1,3,7,10,11]
Socioeconomic Factors	Access to care, cost, perceived value, SES influence	[13,14,15]
Technological Aids	Telemonitoring, mobile apps, digital reminders	[19,20,21]
Systemic Factors	Diabetes, autoimmune conditions, health literacy	[14,15,20]
Policy & Multidisciplinary Approach	Public health support, multidisciplinary follow-up models	[12]

3.4. Future Directions

Artificial intelligence, digital health technologies, and behavior tracking will be crucial to the care of implants in the future. The way that we relate to care following rehabilitation will be modified due to the adoption of intelligent systems that can track attendance, hygiene, and patient reports. The sensitization process will be of value to communication skills, motivational psychology, and dental education within the patient-handling context. Statisticians, psychologists,

hygienists, and dentists alike can gain from the development of data models that hold information regarding the frequency of patients following through with their visits.

4. Conclusion

Patient awareness and maintenance of implant hygiene after rehabilitation are the key determining factor of implant longevity and health of peri-implant tissues. The most effective management is a multidisciplinary, patient-oriented strategy that increases both motivation and knowledge, with the result that not only are long-term outcomes maximized, but complications are minimized as well. Regular review appointments, Structured oral hygiene instructions, and clinician support facilitate compliance with treatment and thus diminish the prevalence of implant-associated diseases. With behavioral counseling and digital monitoring complemented by a collaborative team follow-up, implant therapy maintenance and long-term success.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Costa, F. O., Costa, A. M., Ferreira, S. D., Lima, R. P. E., Pereira, G. H. M., Cyrino, R. M., Oliveira, A. M. S. D., Oliveira, P. A. D., & Cota, L. O. M. (2023). Long-term impact of patients' compliance to peri-implant maintenance therapy on the incidence of peri-implant diseases: An 11-year prospective follow-up clinical study. Clinical implant dentistry and related research, 25(2), 303–312. https://doi.org/10.1111/cid.13169

[2] Leone, F. D., Blasi, G., Amerio, E., Valles, C., Nart, J., & Monje, A. (2024). Influence of the level of compliance with preventive maintenance therapy upon the prevalence of peri-implant diseases. Journal of periodontology, 95(1), 40–49. https://doi.org/10.1002/JPER.23-0048

[3] Soares, P. M., Silveira, G. D. A., Gonçalves, L. S., Bacchi, A., & Pereira, G. K. R. (2024). Maintenance protocols for implant-supported dental prostheses: A scoping review. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry, 132(1), 59–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2022.08.026

[4] Greenstein, G., & Eskow, R. (2022). High Prevalence Rates of Peri-implant mucositis and Peri-implantitis Post Dental Implantations Dictate Need for Continuous Peri-implant Maintenance. Compendium of continuing education in dentistry (Jamesburg, N.J. : 1995),43(4), 206–214.

[5] Cheung, M. C., Hopcraft, M. S., & Darby, I. B. (2021). Dentists' preferences in implant maintenance and hygiene instruction. Australian dental journal, 66(3), 278–288. https://doi.org/10.1111/adj.12831

[6] Perussolo, J., & Donos, N. (2024). Maintenance of peri-implant health in general dental practice. British dental journal, 236(10), 781–789. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-7406-8

[7] Sermsiripoca, K., Pisarnturakit, P. P., Mattheos, N., Pimkhaokham, A., & Subbalekha, K. (2021). Comparing pre- and post-treatment patients' perceptions on dental implant therapy. Clinical implant dentistry and related research, 23(5), 769–778. https://doi.org/10.1111/cid.13036

[8] Brunello, G., Gervasi, M., Ricci, S., Tomasi, C., & Bressan, E. (2020). Patients' perceptions of implant therapy and maintenance: A questionnaire-based survey. *Clinical oral implants research*, *31*(10), 917–927. https://doi.org/10.1111/clr.13634

[9] Kochar, S. P., Reche, A., & Paul, P. (2022). The Etiology and Management of Dental Implant Failure: A Review. Cureus, 14(10), e30455. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.30455

[10] Sartoretto, S. C., Shibli, J. A., Javid, K., Cotrim, K., Canabarro, A., Louro, R. S., Lowenstein, A., Mourão, C. F., & Moraschini, V. (2023). Comparing the Long-Term Success Rates of Tooth Preservation and Dental Implants: A Critical Review. Journal of functional biomaterials, 14(3), 142. https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb14030142

[11] Nassar, H., Al-Dabbagh, N., Aldabbagh, R., Albahiti, M., Jadu, F. M., Qutob, A., & Mawardi, H. (2020). Dental follow-up and maintenance index: the development of a novel multidisciplinary protocol. Heliyon, 6(5), e03954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03954

[12] Cociuban, C. L. M., Maghiar, T. T., Olariu, I., Vasca, E. M., Berari, A. R., Pasca, C., Flueras, R., Popovici, R. A., Matichescu, A., & Marian, D. (2024). Clinical-statistical study on oral rehabilitation methods using dental implants. Medicine and pharmacy reports, 97(3), 398–404. https://doi.org/10.15386/mpr-2772

[13] Juncar, R. I., Precup, A. I., & Juncar, M. (2020). Immediate implant-prosthetic dental rehabilitation of patients with diabetes using four immediately loaded dental implants: a pilot study. The Journal of international medical research, 48(3), 300060519897195. https://doi.org/10.1177/0300060519897195

[14] Mosaddad, S. A., Abdollahi Namanloo, R., Ghodsi, R., Salimi, Y., Taghva, M., & Naeimi Darestani, M. (2023). Oral rehabilitation with dental implants in patients with systemic sclerosis: A systematic review. Immunity, inflammation and disease, 11(3), e812. https://doi.org/10.1002/iid3.812

[15] Wang, Y., Bäumer, D., Ozga, A. K., Körner, G., & Bäumer, A. (2021). Patient satisfaction and oral health-related quality of life 10 years after implant placement. BMC oral health, 21(1), 30. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-020-01381-3

[16] Pradyachaipimol, N., Tangsathian, T., Supanimitkul, K., Sophon, N., Suwanwichit, T., Manopattanasoontorn, S., Arunyanak, S. P., & Kungsadalpipob, K. (2023). Patient satisfaction following dental implant treatment: A survey. Clinical implant dentistry and related research, 25(3), 613–623. https://doi.org/10.1111/cid.13196

[17] Ramani, R. S., Bennani, V., Aarts, J. M., Choi, J. J. E., & Brunton, P. A. (2020). Patient satisfaction with esthetics, phonetics, and function following implant-supported fixed restorative treatment in the esthetic zone: A systematic review. Journal of esthetic and restorative dentistry, 32(7), 662-672.

[18] Hogg, K. D., & Ho, C. C. (2021). Implant Maintenance. Practical Procedures in Implant Dentistry, 327-334.

[19] Cheung, M. C., Hopcraft, M. S., & Darby, I. B. (2021). Dental Implant Hygiene and Maintenance Protocols: A survey of oral health practitioners in Australia. Journal of dental hygiene : JDH, 95(1), 25–35.

[20] Kharalampos, M., Put, V. A., Tarasenko, S. V., & Reshetov, I. V. (2020). Comprehensive patient rehabilitation while performing immediate dental implant placement with the use of information-wave therapy (literature overview). J. Adv. Pharm. Educ. Res, 10(2), 11-4.

[21] Silva, A. S., Martins, D., Sá, J., & Mendes, J. M. (2021). Clinical evaluation of the implant survival rate in patients subjected to immediate implant loading protocols. Dental and medical problems, 58(1), 61–68. https://doi.org/10.17219/dmp/130088

[22] Tomasi, C., Idmyr, B. O., & Wennström, J. L. (2013). Patient satisfaction with mini-implant stabilised full dentures. A 1-year prospective study. Journal of oral rehabilitation, 40(7), 526–534. https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.12053