World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews
eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI
Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr
Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Artificial Intelligence, Political Accountability, and Administrative Efficiency: Pathways to Good Governance in the Digital Era

Bolouboye Micah Eradiri [1,*], Okocha Chinedu [2], Ojo Oluwaseye Emmanuel [3], Emmanuel Acheampong [4], Omokukpo Moses Etana [5] and Udoh Patience Oiwodu [6]

[1] *Department of Public Administration, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois, USA.*
[2] *Department of Public Administration and Management, Faculty of Economics and Management cience, University of Pretoria Hatfield, Pretoria, South Africa.*
[3] *Department of Philosophy, Faculty of Arts, University of Lagos, Lagos State, Nigeria.*
[4] *Department of Political Science and Sociology, Faculty of Political Science and Sociology, University of Ghana, Ghana*
[5] *Masters of Sport Administration, Russian International Olympic University*
[6] *Department of Political Science, Faculty of Social Science, Bayero University Kano, Kano State Nigeria.*
Bolouboye Micah Eradiri ORCID ID: 0009-0002-8762-8142
Okocha Chinedu ORCID ID: 0009-0002-1345-6085
Ojo Oluwaseye Emmanuel ORCID ID: 0009-0001-3503-7466
Omokukpo Moses Etana ORCID ID: 0009-0003-2779-1324
Udoh Patience Oiwodu ORCID ID: 0009-0002-8990-3929

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 28(02), 111–122

Publication history: Received on 16 September 2025; revised on 30 October 2025; accepted on 01 November 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.28.2.3709

Abstract

This study examines the intersection of Artificial Intelligence (AI), political accountability, and administrative efficiency as critical pathways toward achieving good governance in the digital era. With governments across the globe increasingly integrating digital technologies into public administration, AI has emerged as a transformative tool capable of enhancing transparency, efficiency, and citizen engagement. The study adopts a qualitative approach, data derived from policy reports, institutional documents, and governance performance indicators.

Findings reveal that AI contributes significantly to administrative efficiency by automating decision processes, optimizing resource allocation, and improving service delivery speed and accuracy. Moreover, AI-driven data analytics facilitates greater political accountability by enabling real-time monitoring of government activities, early detection of corruption risks, and evidence-based policymaking. However, challenges such as inadequate digital infrastructure, ethical concerns, data privacy issues, and limited technical capacity hinder effective AI adoption in many developing countries.

The study concludes that integrating AI into governance systems requires a balanced policy framework that emphasizes transparency, inclusivity, and ethical oversight. It recommends that governments invest in AI literacy, institutional capacity building, and regulatory reforms to ensure equitable and responsible deployment of AI technologies in governance. By aligning AI innovation with democratic principles and administrative reforms, nations can foster more accountable, efficient, and responsive governance structures capable of addressing 21st-century challenges.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Good Governance; Political Accountability; Administrative Efficiency; Digital Transformation; Public Administration

* Corresponding author: Bolouboye Micah Eradiri

1. Introduction

The last few years have seen the advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a disruptive tool in the sphere of governance, which has provided new ways of improving transparency, accountability, and efficiency of various administrations in developed and developing countries. With the gradual digitalization of the work of state apparatuses, AI technologies on the one hand, data analytics and machine learning are becoming more common in the work of government institutions, enhancing the quality of service delivery, simplifying the bureaucratic process, and increasing the capacity to make decisions (Zheng, 2021; Mikalef et al., 2022). Therefore, AI-based governance will transform the traditional administrative paradigm, which encourages a transition to data-based policy development and automated decision systems that will adhere to the spirit of good governance.

Accountability, transparency, responsiveness, and efficiency in the management of public affairs are the characteristics of good governance described by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP, 2019). However, several governments, especially those of developing nations, are still struggling with the ongoing ills of corruption, inefficiency and an overall lack of political accountability. These obstacles hinder the successful execution of the policy, and undermine the trust of the population in any institutional system (World Bank, 2020). Due to AI, there is a strategic opportunity to address these gaps by automation of the administrative processes, the creation of the monitoring systems, and the improvement of citizen engagement on the smart platforms (Tambe et al., 2019).

Political accountability has become a pillar of democratic governance because it holds the elected government officials and the state servants accountable to their actions and policy deliverables (Bovens and neys, 2007). In that regard, AI solutions can enhance the level of accountability through real-time tracking of government actions and indicating discrepancies in resource distribution, as well as open data systems that enable citizens to hold governments accountable (Meijer & Bolivar, 2016). Equally, the efficiency pertaining to AI administration, which is the optimal and efficient deployment of resources, minimizing bureaucratic delays, etc., can be reinforced by the AI-supported systems that automate repetitive functions, speed up the decision-making process, and involve the reduction of human error (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017).

During the digital age, the intersection of AI, political responsibility, and efficacy of administration is a paradigm shift in the realm of governance. Examples of how the implementation of AI in government services can lead to the improvement of the quality of the provided services, the creation of more responsive policies and the rise of satisfaction among citizens are the examples of Estonia, Singapore, and South Korea (OECD, 2021). However, several developing nations face an innate number of challenges, such as the lack of technological infrastructure, absence of data, and ethical issues, which hinder the achievement of the full potential of AI in the context of governance (Wirtz, Weyerer, Geyer, 2019).

In the modern terms, there is an urgent need to outline a complete picture of the possible affect of Artificial Intelligence on the system of governance, specifically, in regards to political responsibility and administrative effectiveness. The paper aims at analyzing the opportunities of integrating the idea of artificial intelligence strategically into the institutional frameworks so as to increase the level of transparency, responsiveness and overall institutional effectiveness in the realms of the contemporary digital era.

1.1. Statement of the Problem

The fast growth of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has created numerous opportunities and challenges to the modern governance regimes. Despite the interest of various governments in how AI can enhance policy-making processes, service provision and accountability, there is a strong and disproportionate application of AI in the governance frameworks, particularly in less developed countries. Despite the ability of AI to facilitate transparency, efficiency, empirical evidence suggests that most of the public institutions still grapple with weak accounts systems, bureaucratic shortcomings, and data management issues that hamper the realisation of the good governance principles (Wirtz et al., 2019; OECD, 2021).

The problem of institutional readiness and moralities to guide the use of AI in governance is longstanding. In many governmental sectors, the inadequacy of sound data infrastructure, the limited digital literacy of civil servants, and the insufficient regulation on AI technologies are the obstacles to the responsible implementation of AI technologies (UNESCO, 2021). Based on that, despite having the theoretical potential to enhance surveillance, reduce corruption, and simplify administrative proceedings, the misuse or poor implementation of AI systems would only increase inequality, promote biases, and undermine trust in the state structures (Zuiderwijk et al., 2021; Eubanks, 2018).

Also, political responsibility is the key issue of governance reform. In most states,(Bovens, 2007; Meijer and Bolivar, 2016). The technology might provide the tools of a more efficient monitoring and performance evaluation, but the problem is that many governments simply do not have the policy coordination, transparency tools, and institutional culture that are required to utilise AI to be accountable (Kankanhalli et al., 2019).

Similarly, administrative inefficiencies, which include unnecessary duplications and poor records management and service delivery delays, have continued to frustrate the effectiveness of governance. As much as AI may be applied to automatize routine work, predict the needs of the population in the service, and enhance the responsiveness, most of the government institutions face the challenge of technological change resistance and a shortage of AI governance (Mikalef et al., 2022).

Therefore, the current research aims at building on the poor understanding of how Artificial Intelligence has the potential to be successfully incorporated to enhance political responsibility and administrative effectiveness as means to good governance. The gap between the technological potential and administrative practice leads to the crucial questions related to the readiness of the public institutions to embrace AI in the digital age responsibly, ethically, and inclusively.

1.2. Research Objectives

The primary objective of the research is to examine the role of introducing Artificial Intelligence (AI) to increase political accountability and administrative efficiency as key good governance tools in the digital age. The research aims at analysing the mechanisms according to which administrative procedures, transparency, and citizen-centred governance could be reinforced using AI technologies.

In order to realize this overall purpose, the research will strive to accomplish the following objectives:

- To examine how Artificial Intelligence can be used to enhance political accountability in governance.
- To determine the role of AI-based technologies in enhancing the efficiency of administration in the public institutions.
- To test the hypothesis on how good governance principles, which include transparency, responsiveness, and inclusiveness, correlate with the adoption of AI.

1.3. Research Questions

Based on the research objectives, the following are the main questions that will guide this study:

- What role can Artificial Intelligence play in ensuring political responsibility in governance?
- How do AI-based technologies improve the efficiency of the administration of public institutions?
- How will the adoption of AI be associated with the values of good governance, including transparency, responsiveness, and inclusiveness?

2. Literature review

2.1. Conceptual Review

Unethical governing AI has been extensively employed in the field of medicine

2.1.1 Artificial Intelligence and Governance Artificial intelligence has found extensive application in medicine, the sphere where it is not ethical to govern.

The concept of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is often defined as a design of computation systems that can perform tasks that traditionally require human intelligence, i.e. thinking, learning and problem-solving (Russell and Norvig, 2021). In the context of governance, AI technologies have gradually been used to improve decision-making processes, policy execution, and provision of government services (Wirtz, Weyerer, and Geyer, 2019). The governments are vigorously introducing models of machine-learning, predictive analytics and natural-language processing software in order to enhance operational efficiency and to provide an opportunity to interact with citizens more efficiently (OECD, 2021).

Government Artificial Intelligence enables large amounts of data to be processed, avoiding anomalies in fiscal expenditure, and, therefore, improving timeliness in policy response (Zheng, 2021). As an example, AI-driven analytics

could be used to detect corruption risks, streamline documentations and track compliance to regulations in real-time. It is such a change that creates the shift of paradigm in the sphere of governance, as the leading model shifts to a more proactive and evidence-based approach.

2.1.1. Good Governance in the Digital Age.

Good governance is made up of the ideas of accountability, transparency, responsiveness, equity, and rule of law (UNDP, 2019). These values are redistributed during the digital era as highly-complex technologies are being introduced and implemented such as AI, blockchain, and big-data analytics. The implementation of AI technologies in the governance systems has helped in making the decision-making process more participatory, improving the efficiency of policies, and improving the trust of the population (World Bank, 2020).

Digital governance is based on the idea that openly-government initiatives are to be promoted, which will allow citizens to gain access to information provided by the government and hold their officials accountable (Meijer and Bolivar, 2016). Additionally, the digitization of the state sector is essential to prevent corruption and promote information transparency to eventually guarantee the maximum utilization of resources (Mikalef et al., 2022).

2.1.2. Artificial Intelligence and Political Accountability.

Political accountability makes elected leaders and other government administrators accountable on their decisions and actions (Bovens, 2007). With AI based systems of governance, governments will be able to enhance accountability by ensuring transparency in decision making, budgetary tracking, and policy enforcing.

AI protocols are able to support algorithmic auditing and tracing of data, which will in turn guarantee that governmental operations are not only measurable but also verifiable (Zuiderwijk, Chen, and Salem, 2021). These services also have the features of identifying anomalies, tracking funds raised through campaign, and showing policy performance indicators. Still, the lack of transparency and moral leadership in AI systems may breed novel accountability issues, such as continuous promotion of bias or promoting secrecy (Eubanks, 2018).

2.1.3. Administrative Productivity and Artificial Intelligence.

The achievement of organizational goals through reduction of waste in terms of time, effort, and resources can be defined as administrative efficiency (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). AI can improve efficiency in the administrative systems, automating the routine processes to include document management, data entry, and processing of requests to the public services.

As an illustration, Singapore and Estonia have adopted AI to limit human interferences in monotonous activities and hence enhance responsiveness of services delivery (OECD, 2021). Also, AI-based predictive analytics allows citizen institutions to utilize resources more efficiently and predict the needs of citizens; therefore, increasing the efficiency of their policies and the overall performance.

2.1.4. Ethical and institutional aspects of AI regulation are examined in the context of AI governance

The application of AI to governance also poses great ethical issues, including privacy, bias, accountability, and fairness (UNESCO, 2021). The unregulated use of AI might result in algorithmic discrimination, misuse of citizen data, and the marginalized groups being unable to participate in decision-making (Eubanks, 2018). In its turn, the effectiveness of ethical principles and legal frames that will ensure transparency and the trustworthiness of the introduction of AI systems into the governance system is essential (Zuiderwijk et al., 2021).

The institutions are also supposed to develop comprehensive capacity-building strategies and AI governance initiatives that will result in the responsible use.

The strategies here should include data protection policies, non-discriminative policy development, and general enlightenment of people on the consequences of AI (Kankanhalli, Charalabidis, and Mellouli, 2019).

2.2. Theoretical Review

This study is anchored on three main theories that explain the relationship between technology, governance, and accountability:

2.2.1. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model, which was proposed by Davis (1989), assumes that the acceptance of technology is contingent upon two main factors, namely, the perceived usefulness and ease of use. Within the application to AI in governance, TAM describes how government officials and organizations embrace AI systems depending on their perceived capacity to enhance their decision-making, transparency, and performance of the administration. When government workers have the idea that AI tools are easy to operate and helpful in enhancing efficiency, they are likely to adopt them (Venkatesh & Davis, 2000).

2.2.2. Principal-Agent Theory

The Principal-agent Theory (Eisenhardt, 1989) gives a theory that governance can be understood in terms of accountability. It explains the process of the delegation of resources and decision-making by agents (public officials) on behalf of the principals (citizens). The information asymmetry between principals and agents can be minimized using AI technologies because it is possible to monitor information in real-time, report it automatically, and make data transparent. This promotes transparency and reduces the issue of corruption within governance (Bovens, 2007).

2.2.3. Good Governance Theory

The Good Governance Theory focuses on transparency, accountability, participation and efficiency to be the main values of the contemporary governance (Kaufmann, Kraay and Mastruzzi, 2010). The theory advocates the inclusion of AI as an aid to reinforce these pillars. This can be promoted through the use of AI systems based on transparency through open data platforms, accountability through digital monitoring systems, administrative efficiency through bureaucratic automation, and other means.

2.3. Empirical Review

The current body of empirical research about the topic of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and governance has evolved significantly during the past years, which implies both the potential revolution, as well as the challenges that the sphere presents to the public administration. Scientists demonstrate that AI has enhanced the efficiency, accountability, and decision-making globally, at least where it has been sufficiently adjusted to the ethical systems, as well as the institutional competence.

Wirtz et al. (2019) organized a cross-national research and have discovered that the integration of AI into the work of the public administration stimulates the acceleration of the delivery of services and the use of data to make the decisions related to the policy-making, but requires a high level of ethical control in order to prevent algorithmic bias and decrease in the confidence of the population. On the same note, Mikalef et al. (2022) found that AI-based digital transformation could only enhance the performance of an organization under the condition of a clear strategic planning process and leadership support. These results indicate that the effectiveness of AI in governance does not just rely on how highly it is invested in technology, but also on the excellence of managers and the preparedness of institutions.

The article by Zheng (2021) analyzed the use of AI in the Chinese state sector and reported that although AI advantages the monitoring, auditing, and performance assessment, it can also lead to the intensification of state surveillance and the lack of administrative transparency. Complementing this, OECD (2021) found out that countries like Estonia, Singapore, and South Korea have achieved better policy coherence, resource allocation, and transparency with the help of AI-based systems of governance. Another point made by Zuiderwijk et al. (2021) is that despite the unlimited opportunities that AI brings to the auto-automation and engagement of citizens, the lack of ethical principles may jeopardize democratic accountability.

Socially, Eubanks (2018) cautioned that ill-advanced AI systems in government can be the source of inequality, exclusion, and administrative bias, especially when the information applied to instruct algorithms is already unequal in the social sphere. UNESCO (2021) added that to make sure that technological innovation promotes equity and sustainable governance, inclusive and human-centric AI policies are necessary.

Empirical observations are gradually moving toward the unbalanced nature of AI adoption and how it is governed. To illustrate the point, Mhlanga (2023) explored the notion of AI preparedness among African institutions and revealed that despite the increasing level of awareness of the potential of AI, ineffective implementation is deterred by insufficient digital infrastructure, low levels of technical skills, and regulatory ambiguity. Afolayan and Ogundipe (2022) in Nigeria studied the use of AI in the civil service and found that AI-assisted administrative solutions helped to manage the records and monitor services but had issues connected to data security, resistance to change, and funding. On the same note, Boateng (2021) evaluated AI implementations within the e-government system of Ghana and found that data

analytics based on AI enhanced the level of transparency in tax collection and procurement tracking, but did not find the legal mechanisms to protect the privacy of the citizens.

As it is stated by Maseko and Chigona (2020), the introduction of artificial intelligence in the municipalities has increased efficiency and responsiveness, especially in the waste management and traffic control systems. However, their research also shows that the unequal access to digital tools has impeded participation of citizens in AI governed governance. Ngugi and Muturi (2022) also analyzed the policy framework on AI in Kenya and concluded that institutional capacity development and the establishment of a stakeholder partnership are the necessary conditions that must be met to implement the AI to deliver sustainable governance reforms.

3. Methodology

The approach to methodology followed in the current research is mostly qualitative. The qualitative methodology would be suitable because it would help to investigate the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in enhancing political accountability and administrative effectiveness in a holistic way (Creswell and Poth, 2018). The research provides a valuable perspective of the role of AI in the field of public administration and governance based on the extensive literature review, case studies, and the testimonies of experts.

3.1. Population of the Study

The target population of this research will consist of governance institutions, policy actors and administrative agencies that take part in the implementation or adoption of Artificial Intelligence in governance. They can be government institutions at the national and subnational level, international development organizations (e.g., UNDP, OECD and World Bank) and AI research institutions with governance innovation as a target.

3.2. Sampling Techniques and Sample.

Since the study involves qualitative and document-based research, the purposive sampling approach will be employed to filter down to literature and reports that focus specifically on the intersection of AI, political accountability, and administrative efficiency. This methodology will make sure that only the high-quality, peer-reviewed, and policy-relevant sources are included (Palinkas et al., 2015).

The sample that is chosen will consist of scholarly articles published in 2010-2024, reports of reputable organizations, including the World Bank, OECD, UNDP, and UNESCO, as well as case studies concerning those countries that have already employed AI tools in governing systems.

3.3. Sources of Data

The study relies mostly on secondary sources of data. The data are obtained from:

- Journal papers and conference articles that are peer-reviewed.
- White papers and policy reports on the part of the international organizations.
- National AI strategies and official publications.
- Books and dissertations on governance and technology.

That is, online databases (JSTOR, ScienceDirect, ResearchGate, and Google Scholar).

Secondary data helps the researcher to generalize the existing empirical evidence and give a comprehensive understanding of the effects of AI on governance and administration (Johnston, 2017).

3.4. Method of Data Collection

The comprehensive review of documents and content analysis were used to gather data in a systematic manner. The scholar searched and analyzed appropriate academic and institutional sources with specific keywords of AI and governance, political accountability, digital public administration, and AI to the efficiency of the public sector.

The search was organized in the following way:

- Identification of academic database and policy repository sources.
- Filtering in terms of relevance, credibility, and date.

- Derivation of thematic insights regarding the relationship between AI, governance and accountability.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Introduction

In this chapter, the researcher reveals and reviews the information gained through secondary sources on the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in improving political accountability and administrative efficiency as avenues of good governance. The discussion is thematic and descriptive; it is backed by tables and charts, which display the global trends and case insights. The results are organized based on three main themes, namely, adoption of AI in governance, AI and political accountability, and AI and administrative efficiency.

4.2. Overview of AI Adoption in Governance

The use of Artificial Intelligence in the functioning of the public sector is becoming more widespread across the globe, where it is used to aid in data-driven decision-making, enhance transparency, and enhance service delivery. The OECD (2021) notes that more than 60% of the member nations have taken national AI strategies that entail modernizing governance and accountability.

Table 1 Global Adoption of AI in Governance

Region	% of Countries with AI Strategy	Primary Focus Areas	Example Countries
North America	90%	Policy analytics, public safety, digital identity	USA, Canada
Europe	85%	Smart cities, e-governance, regulatory AI	UK, Germany, France
Asia-Pacific	75%	Digital transformation, service delivery, automation	China, Japan, South Korea
Africa	35%	Anti-corruption systems, e-services, data monitoring	Nigeria, Kenya, South Africa
Latin America	45%	Transparency, citizen participation, social inclusion	Brazil, Chile, Mexico

Source: OECD (2021); UNDP (2022); World Bank (2023)

The statistics show that although the developed worlds are the foremost in the adoption of AI policy, the developing regions like Africa are also considering AI application in governing their systems especially in enhancing transparency and fighting corruption.

4.3. AI and Political Accountability

Worldwide, AI tools are utilized to enhance transparency, citizen accountability and the anti-corruption process. Predictive analytics and natural language processing (NLP) are used by platforms to help governments track the flow of money, note how policies are implemented, and identify anomalies in government spending (Bovens, 2007; Meijer & Bolivar, 2016).

Table 2 AI Applications Enhancing Political Accountability

AI Application	Governance Function	Expected Outcome	Example
Predictive analytics	Detects corruption patterns	Reduced fraud and misuse of funds	Kenya's AI Audit System
Sentiment analysis tools	Analyzes citizen feedback on policies	Improved citizen engagement	UK Policy AI Monitor
Blockchain technology	Tracks public expenditure	Increased fiscal transparency	Estonia e-Governance

Machine learning algorithms	Monitors election results and campaign finances	Promotes fair political competition	India's ECI AI Portal
Chatbots and e-portals	Provides open communication with citizens	Strengthened government responsiveness	Nigeria's GovAssist Bot

Source: World Bank (2023); OECD (2021); Transparency International (2022)

The above tools can be used to build digital channels, which allow the citizens to have the supervisory power over political leaders, thus becoming participatory democracy and reducing institutional corruption.

Correlation AI Adoption and Political Accountability Index (2024)

The fact that such relationship implies a positive correlation between the introduction of artificial intelligence and the increase in the metrics of the accountability of the governance, shows that data-driven systems are building up the processes of supervision and transparency of decision-making.

4.4. Administrative Efficiency and AI.

Artificial intelligence enhances efficiency in the administration through automation, forecasting management, as well as streamlining of the work processes in the services to the citizens. It leads to a decrease in human error, simplifies the processing of data, and makes evidence-based decisions (Wirtz et al., 2019; Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2017).

Table 3 AI Tools and Administrative Efficiency Outcomes

AI Technology	**Administrative Function**	**Efficiency Outcome**	**Country Example**
Robotic Process Automation (RPA)	Automates repetitive administrative tasks	Faster processing and reduced workload	Singapore
Machine Learning Models	Predicts resource allocation needs	Improved budgeting and project management	South Korea
Intelligent Chatbots	Automates citizen query responses	Shorter service delivery time	Canada
Data Analytics	Enhances decision support systems	Better policy evaluation and planning	UK
Smart Algorithms	Streamlines HR and payroll systems	Cost reduction and improved accuracy	UAE

Source: Wirtz et al. (2019); UNDP (2021); World Bank (2023)

These technologies also result in time and cost saving, and improvement of the quality of services, which are critical metrics of good governance.

4.5. Thematic Discussion of Results.

4.5.1. Transparency and Accountability as Catalysts by AI.

The results indicate that artificial intelligence enhances transparency by making the government data visible and traceable. India, such as the e-governance system in Estonia or the electoral monitoring systems based on AI have shown improvements in accountability that can be measured (OECD, 2021).

4.5.2. Automation and predictive systems efficiency.

The automation functions of AI have significantly diminished administrative bottlenecks and thus made policies responsive and improved service delivery. The use of AI-powered digital solutions has also reduced by up to 40 percent processing time in selected departments of South Korea and Singapore (UNDP, 2022).

4.5.3. AI Adoption Issues in Governance.

Numerous challenges as ethical issues, algorithms bias, risk of data breaches, and even institutional opposition to change are still present, regardless of its advantages (UNESCO, 2021). Such obstacles are especially sharp in developing countries, where the digital infrastructure and the system of data regulations are not so strong.

Table 4 Summary of Findings

Variable	Observation from Analysis	Implication for Good Governance
AI Adoption Rate	Growing but uneven across regions	Need for inclusive and ethical AI policies
Political Accountability	Strong correlation with AI-driven transparency mechanisms	Promotes democratic participation and oversight
Administrative Efficiency	Significant improvement through automation and analytics tools	Enhances service delivery and institutional performance
Ethical AI Governance	Still developing in most nations	Requires global frameworks and accountability systems

Source: Author's Compilation (2025)

The overall findings confirm that Artificial Intelligence serves as a transformative instrument for improving political accountability and administrative efficiency, thus promoting sustainable good governance when implemented ethically and inclusively.

5. Summary

This chapter gives a brief summary of the whole research, with highlights of the main findings, conclusions, and recommendations to be put in place. The paper discussed how Artificial Intelligence (AI) can promote good governance and specifically, the authors focused on political accountability and administrative efficiency as some of the main dimensions according to which AI can transform governance in the digital age.

5.1. Summary of Major Findings

The main study conclusions are as follows:

Overall, the adoption of AI and modernization of its governance is inevitable, which in turn enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of numerous operations within healthcare organizations.

- Modernization of AI Adoption and Governance:

The pace at which AI is being adopted into governance has also been high across the world with the developed countries being the first to strategically integrate it, and the developing countries gradually develop digital capacity. The paper shows that the efficiency of governance and transparency in the countries where AI policies are organized is greater.

Given AI's capability to monitor users interacting with politicians, it offers a chance to enhance the level of political accountability and responsibility.

- AI and Political Accountability:

Since AI has the potential to allow policymakers to track people who engage with politicians, it presents an opportunity to increase the degree of political responsibility and accountability.

Accountability through AI technologies, like data analytics and blockchain, is possible by helping governments recognize anomalies, track spending, and add greater accountability to the acts of citizens, which leads to better trust and integrity of political institutions (Bovens, 2007; Transparency International, 2022).

- AI and Administrative Efficiency:

The administrative efficiency is boosted by automation, predictive modeling, and smart data systems that lessen the bureaucratization of bottlenecks, decrease the human error, and increase the speed of the services delivery (Brynjolfsson and McAfee, 2017).

- Ethical and Institutional Challenges:

Although advantageous, AI implementation is faced with several ethical challenges, including algorithmic bias, privacy and data misuse. Problems in infrastructures and regulations are another issue that affects the large-scale deployment of AI in developing countries (UNESCO, 2021).

- Positive Correlation between AI and Governance outcomes:

This analysis shows that there exists a positive correlation between the use of AI and the good governance indicators, especially in transparency, efficiency, and participation of the people.

6. Conclusion

The research paper concludes that Artificial Intelligence is a key facilitator of good governance in the digital age. It increases political responsibility through transparency and citizen control, and promotes the efficiency of administration by automating processes and basing the policymaking process on evidence. Nonetheless, this benefit can only be achieved when ethical governance models, institutional preparedness, and inclusive digital infrastructure exist. In the absence of relevant data protection regulations and responsibility frameworks, AI can only increase inequality or empower abuse of power. Therefore, the application of AI in governance must not be exclusive to the technological progress but also ethical responsibility, the relevance of policies, and people-centered innovation. AI has the potential to fill the void existing between the people and the state, making the governance system more transparent, responsive, and efficient.

6.1. Recommendations

On the basis of the findings, the following recommendations are offered:

- Formulation of National AI Governance Frameworks:

To ensure that AI is used ethically, accountably, and in a transparent manner, governments need to come up with all-inclusive policies that outline ethical standards in its usage in the government. These frameworks must be in line with international standards offered by UNESCO (2021) and OECD (2021).

- Capacity Building in the Public Administrators:

Digital literacy and AI management courses should be carried out on a regular basis to train the officials of the population on how to utilize AI and operate it to make the correct policy choices.

- Ethical and Data Protection Mechanisms:

Data privacy laws that safeguard personal information of the citizens and prevent algorithm discrimination should be enacted and implemented by governments. Ethical monitoring organisations ought to be created to help in governing AI applications.

- Digital Inclusion and Infrastructure Development:

Digital infrastructure (access to broadband and data centres) should also be invested in, especially in developing countries. Digital transformation should be inclusive so that the disadvantaged groups can equally enjoy the benefits of AI-driven governance.

- Public-Private Partnerships (PPP):

Governments, private technological firms, and higher institutions should be encouraged to collaborate to encourage the innovation and input of knowledge in AI governance applications.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Ahn, M. J., & Chen, C. (2023). Artificial intelligence and public administration: Understanding the emerging challenges and opportunities. Government Information Quarterly, 40(1), 101728.

[2] Alcaraz, J. M., & Thalmann, S. (2019). AI governance: Balancing innovation and accountability. Journal of Business Ethics, 162(2), 343–359.

[3] Alford, J., & O'Flynn, J. (2021). Public value and public administration: The new public management challenge. Palgrave Macmillan.

[4] Ansell, C., & Torfing, J. (2021). Public governance as co-creation: A strategy for revitalizing the public sector and rebuilding trust in government. Cambridge University Press.

[5] Arora, S., & Rahman, Z. (2017). Information technology and governance transformation: The role of AI in public management. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 30(5), 453–472.

[6] Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Bloomberg, L. (2020). Public value governance: Moving beyond traditional public administration and the new public management. Public Administration Review, 80(4), 665–674.

[7] Candel, J. J. L. (2022). AI ethics and good governance: Lessons for democratic accountability. Policy & Internet, 14(3), 456–474.

[8] Chen, C., & Ahn, M. J. (2022). Applying AI to improve government decision-making: Opportunities and challenges. Public Administration Review, 82(5), 927–939.

[9] Cordella, A., & Tempini, N. (2019). E-government and organizational change: Reappraising the role of IT in public administration. Government Information Quarterly, 36(4), 101–118.

[10] Crawford, K., & Calo, R. (2016). There is a blind spot in AI research. Nature, 538(7625), 311–313.

[11] Cummings, M. L. (2021). Artificial intelligence in government: Ethical and policy challenges. AI & Society, 36(2), 389–399.

[12] Davies, T., & Bawa, Z. A. (2020). Digital governance and civic technology: Enhancing citizen engagement in Africa. Information Polity, 25(2), 175–189.

[13] Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 57, 101994.

[14] Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor. St. Martin's Press.

[15] Gasser, U., & Almeida, V. A. (2017). A layered model for AI governance. IEEE Internet Computing, 21(6), 58–62.

[16] Gero, K., & Brown, T. (2020). Transparency by design: AI, accountability, and the future of public administration. Journal of Public Affairs, 20(4), e2283.

[17] Gil-Garcia, J. R., Dawes, S. S., & Pardo, T. A. (2018). Digital government and public management research: Finding the crossroads. Public Management Review, 20(5), 633–646.

[18] Green, B. (2021). The flaws of policies toward algorithmic accountability. Regulation & Governance, 15(6), 1653–1671.

[19] Kankanhalli, A., Charalabidis, Y., & Mellouli, S. (2019). Emerging technologies for digital government: AI, blockchain, and IoT. Government Information Quarterly, 36(2), 101–109.

[20] Margetts, H., & Dorobantu, C. (2019). Rethinking government with AI: From automation to augmentation. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 376(2133), 20170362.

[21] Misuraca, G., & van Noordt, C. (2020). AI watch: Artificial Intelligence in public services—Overview of the use and impact of AI in public services in the EU. European Commission, Joint Research Centre.

[22] Moore, M. H. (2019). Creating public value: Strategic management in government. Harvard University Press.

[23] OECD (2021). The state of implementation of the OECD AI principles: Insights from national AI policies. OECD Publishing.

[24] Peters, B. G. (2021). Institutional theory in political science: The new institutionalism. Edward Elgar.

[25] Rahwan, I. (2018). Society-in-the-loop: Programming the algorithmic social contract. Ethics and Information Technology, 20(1), 5–14.

[26] Sarker, A. E., & Alathur, S. (2020). Digital transformation and good governance: How AI reshapes the accountability landscape. Information Polity, 25(3), 321–339.

[27] Saxena, K. B. C. (2017). E-governance and the transformation of public administration in developing countries. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 30(6), 528–546.

[28] Scherer, M. U. (2016). Regulating artificial intelligence systems: Risks, challenges, competencies, and strategies. Harvard Journal of Law & Technology, 29(2), 353–400.

[29] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2019). Human Development Report 2019: Beyond income, beyond averages, beyond today. United Nations.

[30] Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Geyer, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence and the public sector—Applications and challenges. International Journal of Public Administration, 42(7), 596–615.

[31] World Bank. (2020). World Development Report 2020: Trading for development in the age of global value chains. World Bank.

[32] Yeung, K. (2022). Algorithmic regulation and accountability in the age of AI governance. Regulation & Governance, 16(1), 45–63.

[33] Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power. PublicAffairs.