World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr

Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

AI-driven predictive maintenance systems for loss prevention and asset protection in subsea operations

Taiwo Oluwole Sobiyi [1], Christopher Chuks Egbuna [2], Saheed Remi Kareem [3] and Raphael Oluwatobiloba Lawal [4, *]

[1] *Chevron Nigeria Limited, Lekki, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *Shell Petroleum and Development Company, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[3] *Department of Business Administration, Nexford University, Washington, DC, USA.*
[4] *Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 25(02), 923-933

Publication history: Received on 31 December 2024; revised on 04 February 2025; accepted on 07 February 2025

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2025.25.2.0460

Abstract

This comprehensive review examines the transformative role of artificial intelligence in revolutionizing predictive maintenance systems for subsea operations. Through systematic analysis of industry implementations, technological frameworks, and documented case studies, we investigate how AI-driven systems enhance asset protection and prevent losses in challenging underwater environments. Our research methodology encompasses qualitative and quantitative analysis of implementation data, focusing on system performance, operational benefits, and implementation challenges. The research reveals that AI-driven systems substantially improve equipment reliability and operational efficiency in subsea operations through enhanced prediction capabilities and optimized maintenance scheduling. We address critical challenges in sensor reliability, data transmission, and system integration, providing insights into effective implementation strategies and risk management approaches. The study presents a framework for AI integration in subsea maintenance that considers both technical requirements and organizational factors, incorporating emerging trends in deep learning, digital twin technology, and real-time monitoring systems. This work contributes to the growing body of literature on digital transformation in subsea operations by offering a comprehensive analysis of AI's role in creating more efficient, reliable, and cost-effective maintenance systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Predictive Maintenance; Subsea Operations; Asset Protection; Machine Learning; Digital Twin Technology

1. Introduction

The subsea industry has witnessed unprecedented technological advancement in recent years, characterized by increasing automation and the integration of sophisticated monitoring systems [1]. This paradigm shift has created both opportunities and challenges for maintenance operations, particularly in the hostile environments typical of underwater operations. Traditional maintenance approaches, primarily designed for surface operations, are proving increasingly inadequate in addressing the complexities of modern subsea installations.

The underwater environment introduces novel challenges in equipment maintenance, particularly in areas such as corrosion monitoring, structural integrity assessment, and early failure detection. These challenges are compounded by limited accessibility and the high costs associated with subsea interventions [2]. Research indicates that unplanned maintenance activities in subsea operations can cost up to 15 times more than planned interventions, with global maintenance-related losses estimated at $12 billion annually. [3]

* Corresponding author: Raphael Oluwatobiloba Lawal.

AI-driven predictive maintenance has emerged as a transformative solution for modernizing subsea maintenance operations. This technology addresses current limitations in monitoring and maintenance systems through its core features of pattern recognition, anomaly detection, and predictive analytics [4]. By enabling real-time condition monitoring and early warning detection, AI allows for more proactive maintenance strategies, significantly minimizing the risk of catastrophic failures and optimizing maintenance schedules [5].

The integration of AI technologies with existing subsea infrastructure represents a significant leap forward in maintenance capabilities [6]. Recent advancements in sensor technology, data processing, and machine learning algorithms have made it possible to develop sophisticated predictive maintenance systems that can operate reliably in extreme underwater conditions. These systems not only enhance operational efficiency but also contribute to substantial cost savings and improved safety measures across the industry [7].

2. Overview of Subsea Maintenance Systems

2.1. System Architecture and Components

Modern subsea maintenance systems represent a complex integration of mechanical, electrical, and digital technologies working in harmony to ensure operational reliability [8]. These systems comprise multiple layers of infrastructure, including physical assets, sensor networks, data transmission systems, and control mechanisms. The backbone of these systems consists of sophisticated sensor arrays that continuously monitor various parameters such as pressure, temperature, vibration, and structural integrity [9].

The data acquisition system in subsea environments operates under unique constraints that require specialized design considerations [10]. Unlike surface-based systems, subsea sensors must maintain reliability under extreme pressure conditions and corrosive environments while ensuring accurate data transmission through water columns that can extend several kilometers. The integration of these sensors with power distribution networks and communication systems creates a comprehensive monitoring infrastructure that serves as the foundation for predictive maintenance operations [11].

Advanced signal processing and filtering mechanisms form an essential component of the system architecture, ensuring that the data collected is both accurate and meaningful [12]. These systems employ sophisticated algorithms to filter out noise and identify relevant patterns in the sensor data, providing a clear picture of equipment health and operational status. The processed data is then transmitted through a combination of acoustic, optical, and electrical systems to surface facilities for further analysis and decision-making.

2.2. Operational Framework

The operational framework of subsea maintenance systems is built upon a foundation of continuous monitoring and adaptive response mechanisms. This framework encompasses both routine maintenance procedures and emergency response protocols, creating a comprehensive approach to asset management. The system operates on multiple time scales, from real-time monitoring and immediate response to long-term trend analysis and predictive modeling [13].

Within this framework, data collection and analysis occur continuously, with automated systems processing thousands of data points per second to create a detailed picture of system health. This continuous monitoring allows for the early detection of potential issues and the implementation of preventive measures before problems escalate. The framework also includes redundancy measures and fail-safe mechanisms to ensure system reliability even in the event of partial failures.

The integration of historical data with real-time monitoring creates a dynamic learning environment that continuously improves the accuracy of predictive models [14]. This adaptive approach allows the system to refine its prediction capabilities over time, taking into account seasonal variations, equipment aging, and changing operational conditions [15].

2.3. Communication and Control Systems

The effectiveness of subsea maintenance systems heavily relies on robust communication and control infrastructure [16]. These systems must maintain reliable data transmission across significant distances while operating in an environment that presents unique challenges to signal propagation. Modern subsea communication systems utilize a combination of technologies, including fiber optic cables, acoustic transmission, and wireless protocols, to ensure continuous data flow between subsea equipment and surface control centers [17].

Control systems in subsea environments must balance the need for autonomous operation with the requirement for human oversight [18]. This balance is achieved through hierarchical control architectures that allow for both automated responses to routine situations and manual intervention when necessary. The control systems incorporate multiple layers of redundancy and sophisticated error-checking mechanisms to maintain system integrity under all operating conditions [19].

Advanced networking protocols and data encryption systems ensure secure and reliable communication between subsea equipment and surface facilities [20]. These systems must handle large volumes of data while maintaining low latency for critical control functions. The implementation of edge computing capabilities at strategic points in the network helps reduce data transmission requirements while enabling faster response times for critical operations [21].

3. AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance Framework

3.1. Core AI Technologies and Algorithms

The foundation of modern predictive maintenance systems lies in their sophisticated artificial intelligence algorithms and machine learning models. Recent developments have introduced multimodal deep learning architectures that simultaneously process diverse sensor inputs, including vibration signatures, thermal patterns, and acoustic emissions [22]. These advanced networks achieve prediction accuracies exceeding 97% in identifying potential equipment failures up to 72 hours before occurrence [23].

Transformer-based models, originally developed for natural language processing, have been adapted for time-series analysis in subsea environments [24]. These models excel at capturing long-range dependencies in sensor data, enabling the detection of subtle degradation patterns weeks before traditional methods. The attention mechanisms within these models automatically identify critical parameters during analysis, reducing false alarms by 85% compared to conventional systems [25].

Edge-optimized neural networks now operate directly on subsea sensor nodes, performing real-time analysis while reducing data transmission requirements by 60% [26]. These networks employ quantization-aware training techniques that maintain high accuracy while operating within the computational constraints of underwater edge devices. The distributed processing approach has demonstrated remarkable resilience to communication disruptions, maintaining predictive capabilities even during temporary connectivity losses [27].

The latest ensemble methods combine multiple specialized models, each focused on specific failure modes or equipment types. These systems leverage recent advances in automated machine learning (AutoML) to continuously optimize their architecture and hyperparameters based on operational data [28]. The resulting predictions demonstrate a 30% improvement in early warning capabilities compared to single-model approaches [29].

3.2. Data Processing and Integration Framework

Real-time data processing in subsea environments requires a sophisticated framework capable of handling diverse data streams under challenging conditions. The framework implements a multi-stage processing pipeline that begins with raw sensor data acquisition and proceeds through several levels of analysis and refinement. Edge computing nodes positioned near sensor clusters perform initial data filtering and compression, reducing bandwidth requirements while maintaining data integrity [30].

The integration framework incorporates multiple data sources, including real-time sensor readings, historical performance data, maintenance records, and environmental measurements. This comprehensive approach ensures that the AI system has access to all relevant information when making predictions. Advanced data fusion techniques combine information from different sources, creating a coherent picture of system status and potential failure modes [31].

Data quality assurance is maintained through automated validation processes that identify and correct for sensor drift, calibration errors, and communication issues. The system employs sophisticated imputation methods to handle missing data, ensuring continuous operation even when some sensors fail or communication is temporarily disrupted. This robust approach to data management ensures the reliability of the AI predictions under various operating conditions.

3.3. Predictive Analytics and Decision Support

The predictive analytics component represents the culmination of the AI framework, where processed data is transformed into actionable insights [32]. This system employs a combination of physics-based models and data-driven approaches to predict equipment failures and optimize maintenance schedules. The analytics engine considers multiple factors, including equipment age, operating conditions, maintenance history, and environmental factors, to generate comprehensive health assessments and failure predictions [33].

Decision support systems built on these predictive analytics help maintenance teams optimize their intervention strategies. These systems provide risk-weighted recommendations that balance the cost of maintenance activities against the potential consequences of equipment failure [34]. The decision support framework incorporates economic factors, operational constraints, and safety considerations to generate practical maintenance schedules that maximize equipment availability while minimizing costs.

Real-time monitoring capabilities allow the system to continuously update its predictions and recommendations as new data becomes available [35]. This dynamic approach enables rapid response to changing conditions and emerging issues, helping maintenance teams stay ahead of potential problems. The system also provides detailed justifications for its recommendations, allowing human operators to understand and validate the AI-generated insights.

4. Benefits and Opportunities

4.1. Operational Efficiency Enhancements

AI-driven predictive maintenance systems have demonstrated remarkable improvements in operational efficiency across subsea installations. Through continuous monitoring and early detection capabilities, these systems reduce unplanned downtime significantly, enabling operators to address potential issues before they escalate into critical failures [36]. This proactive approach has transformed maintenance practices from reactive to predictive, resulting in substantial operational benefits.

The integration of AI technologies has revolutionized resource utilization by enabling maintenance teams to prioritize activities based on actual equipment conditions rather than fixed schedules. This optimization eliminates unnecessary maintenance activities and directs resources toward genuine areas of concern. Long-term operational data demonstrates that this approach contributes significantly to asset lifetime extension through more consistent equipment operation within optimal parameters [37].

The implementation of predictive maintenance strategies has also led to improved safety metrics through the reduction of high-risk maintenance interventions. By identifying potential failures before they occur, teams can plan interventions under controlled conditions, minimizing exposure to hazardous situations and reducing the need for emergency repairs.

4.2. Financial Impact Analysis

Recent industry data reveals compelling financial benefits from AI-driven predictive maintenance implementations in subsea operations. The latest generation of systems demonstrates accelerated return on investment, with payback periods reduced to 12-18 months through improved prediction accuracy and reduced false positives. A comprehensive analysis of 2023-2024 implementations shows average maintenance cost reductions of 40%, significantly exceeding earlier systems' performance [38].

Advanced cost-benefit modeling now incorporates dynamic risk assessment, enabling more precise evaluation of intervention strategies. Organizations implementing these systems report increased operational profits through optimized maintenance scheduling, with documented cases showing production efficiency improvements of 25-35% [39]. The integration of real-time market data with maintenance planning has enabled organizations to align maintenance activities with favorable market conditions, maximizing revenue potential.

The latest financial impact assessments demonstrate cascading benefits across operational domains. Insurance providers have begun offering premium reductions of 15-20% for organizations with validated AI-driven maintenance systems, recognizing their superior risk management capabilities. Additionally, enhanced equipment longevity has reduced capital expenditure requirements, with some organizations reporting 30% reductions in replacement costs through optimized lifecycle management [40].

These financial improvements stem from the systems' enhanced ability to prevent catastrophic failures while optimizing routine maintenance activities. Organizations report significant reductions in emergency repair costs, with one major operator documenting savings exceeding $8 million annually through prevention of critical failures [41]. The integration of predictive analytics with supply chain management has further reduced costs by enabling just-in-time parts procurement and optimal inventory management.

4.3. Strategic Advantages

The implementation of AI-driven predictive maintenance creates lasting strategic advantages through enhanced operational control and risk management [42]. Organizations gain comprehensive visibility into their asset health and performance, enabling more informed decision-making about equipment replacement and upgrade schedules. This strategic insight allows companies to optimize their capital expenditure planning and align maintenance activities with business objectives.

The accumulation of comprehensive operational data and maintenance histories creates valuable intellectual property that organizations can leverage across multiple installations and projects [43]. This knowledge base provides competitive advantages in bidding for new projects and negotiating service contracts. The ability to demonstrate proactive management of environmental risks and improved compliance with safety regulations leads to better relationships with regulatory authorities and stakeholders.

Enhanced predictive capabilities enable better alignment with regulatory requirements and environmental standards [44]. This proactive approach supports sustainability initiatives by optimizing resource utilization and reducing environmental impacts. Organizations can demonstrate responsible asset management practices while maintaining optimal operational performance.

5. Implementation Challenges

5.1. Technical Integration Barriers

The integration of AI-driven predictive maintenance systems with existing subsea infrastructure presents significant technical challenges [45]. Legacy systems often lack the necessary sensor capabilities and data collection infrastructure required for effective AI implementation. Retrofitting existing equipment with advanced sensors while maintaining operational integrity requires careful planning and specialized engineering solutions.

Data quality and consistency pose additional technical challenges in extreme subsea environments [46]. Sensor reliability remains a significant concern, with issues such as signal drift, calibration errors, and equipment failures affecting data accuracy. The high-pressure, corrosive environment can accelerate sensor degradation, requiring frequent maintenance and replacement of monitoring equipment.

System interoperability and standardization issues complicate the integration process [47]. Different equipment manufacturers often use proprietary protocols and data formats, making it difficult to create unified monitoring and analysis systems. The lack of standardized interfaces between legacy systems and modern AI platforms requires the development of custom integration solutions.

5.2. Organizational and Human Factors

The successful implementation of AI-driven maintenance systems requires significant organizational change and adaptation [48]. Resistance to new technologies and processes can impede adoption, particularly among experienced maintenance personnel who may be skeptical of AI-based recommendations. Organizations must invest in comprehensive training programs to build confidence in the new systems and develop necessary operational skills.

Changes to established maintenance procedures and decision-making processes can disrupt existing workflows and responsibilities [49]. Clear communication strategies and change management plans are essential to ensure smooth transition and maintain operational continuity. Organizations must address concerns about job security and role changes that may arise from increased automation and AI adoption.

The shortage of personnel with expertise in both subsea operations and AI technologies presents a significant challenge. Organizations must compete for scarce talent while developing internal capabilities through training and recruitment. The need to maintain traditional maintenance capabilities alongside new AI-driven approaches can strain resources and complicate workforce planning [50].

5.3. Cost and Resource Management

The initial investment required for implementing AI-driven predictive maintenance systems represents a significant financial commitment [51]. Beyond hardware and software costs, organizations must consider expenses related to system integration, training, and operational disruption during implementation. The business case must account for both direct costs and indirect impacts on operations during the transition period.

Ongoing resource requirements for system maintenance and optimization can strain operational budgets [52]. Organizations must allocate resources for regular system updates, sensor maintenance, and data management while maintaining traditional maintenance capabilities as backup. The cost of specialized expertise for system optimization and troubleshooting can be significant, particularly in remote locations.

Long-term sustainability of AI implementations requires careful financial planning and resource allocation. Organizations must balance the need for continuous system improvement with budget constraints and operational requirements. The development of internal capabilities versus reliance on external expertise presents ongoing cost management challenges that must be carefully evaluated [53].

6. Risk Mitigation and Management Strategies

6.1. Systematic Risk Assessment

A comprehensive risk assessment framework is essential for identifying and managing potential failure points in AI-driven maintenance systems. Regular evaluation of system performance against established benchmarks and industry standards helps maintain operational reliability. Continuous monitoring of system health indicators enables early identification of emerging risks before they impact operations [54].

Risk assessment protocols incorporate scenario planning for various failure modes and their potential impacts [55]. This includes developing contingency plans for sensor network failures, communication disruptions, and algorithm performance degradation. Regular testing of backup systems and failover procedures ensures operational continuity under adverse conditions.

The framework includes regular validation of AI predictions against actual equipment performance to maintain confidence in the system and identify areas requiring adjustment. This systematic approach to risk assessment enables organizations to maintain effective control over their maintenance operations while ensuring system reliability.

6.2. Quality Assurance Protocols

Robust quality assurance measures maintain the reliability of AI-driven maintenance systems through rigorous data validation procedures, regular calibration of sensors, and systematic testing of algorithm performance. Regular system audits help ensure compliance with established protocols and identify areas for improvement [56].

Independent verification of system recommendations helps maintain confidence in AI-generated maintenance decisions. Documentation of quality control procedures and system adjustments builds organizational knowledge and supports continuous improvement efforts [59].

Quality assurance protocols include regular review and updating of maintenance procedures based on system performance data. This includes adjusting threshold values, updating algorithm parameters, and refining maintenance schedules based on accumulated experience.

6.3. Contingency Planning

Effective contingency planning ensures operational continuity through detailed backup procedures for all critical maintenance functions [58]. Organizations maintain traditional inspection and maintenance capabilities as fallback options for situations when AI systems are compromised. Regular testing of contingency plans helps ensure their effectiveness and familiarizes personnel with emergency procedures.

Documentation and regular updates of contingency plans are crucial for long-term risk management [59]. These plans are reviewed and updated based on lessons learned from actual incidents and near-misses. Clear procedures for transitioning between AI-driven and manual maintenance modes help minimize operational disruption during system issues.

Organizations conduct periodic drills that simulate various failure scenarios and practice the implementation of backup procedures. This includes testing manual override capabilities and ensuring that critical maintenance decisions can be made without AI system support when necessary.

7. Future Directions

7.1. Technological Advancements

The evolution of AI-driven predictive maintenance systems is poised to accelerate with emerging technologies in quantum computing and advanced materials [60]. Next-generation sensors incorporating nanomaterials and self-healing properties promise enhanced durability and reliability in extreme subsea environments. These developments will enable more comprehensive monitoring capabilities while reducing maintenance requirements for the sensing infrastructure itself.

Advanced machine learning algorithms, particularly in the realm of reinforcement learning and adaptive neural networks, are expected to improve system performance significantly [61]. These technologies will enable more sophisticated predictive capabilities, including the ability to anticipate cascading failures and optimize maintenance schedules across multiple interdependent systems. The integration of quantum computing may revolutionize data processing capabilities, allowing for real-time analysis of complex system behaviors [62].

Edge computing capabilities are expected to expand dramatically, enabling more sophisticated local processing of sensor data. This advancement will reduce latency in decision-making processes and decrease bandwidth requirements for data transmission. Enhanced edge processing capabilities will also support more autonomous maintenance operations, with local systems capable of making immediate decisions based on real-time data analysis [63].

7.2. Integration and Standardization Trends

Industry-wide efforts toward standardization of AI maintenance systems are gaining momentum. The development of common protocols and interfaces will facilitate better integration between different manufacturers' equipment and maintenance systems [64]. This standardization will reduce implementation costs and complexity while improving system reliability and maintainability.

Cross-platform compatibility and data sharing capabilities are becoming increasingly important as organizations seek to leverage maintenance data across their operations. The emergence of industry-wide data platforms and sharing protocols will enable better benchmarking and collective learning from maintenance experiences [65]. This trend toward greater collaboration and data sharing is expected to accelerate the development of more effective predictive maintenance strategies.

Cloud-based platforms specifically designed for subsea maintenance applications are evolving rapidly. These platforms will provide scalable solutions for data storage, processing, and analysis while enabling better collaboration between onshore and offshore teams. The integration of blockchain technology may provide new solutions for data security and traceability in maintenance operations [66].

8. Conclusion

The integration of AI-driven predictive maintenance systems represents a transformative advancement in subsea operations, fundamentally changing how organizations approach asset protection and loss prevention. Through comprehensive analysis of implementation data and industry experience, this review demonstrates the significant potential of AI technologies in improving operational efficiency, reducing maintenance costs, and extending asset lifetimes. The combination of sophisticated AI algorithms with advanced sensor networks and data processing capabilities has enabled unprecedented levels of equipment monitoring and failure prediction in challenging subsea environments.

The challenges encountered in implementing these systems, including technical integration barriers, organizational adaptation, and resource management issues, require careful consideration and systematic approaches to resolution. Successful implementations demonstrate that these challenges can be overcome through well-planned strategies that address both technical and organizational aspects of system deployment. The development of standardized protocols and improved integration capabilities continues to reduce implementation complexity and costs.

The future of AI-driven predictive maintenance in subsea operations holds significant promise, with emerging technologies and increased industry collaboration driving continuous improvement in system capabilities. The trend toward greater standardization and data sharing is expected to accelerate the development of more effective maintenance strategies while reducing implementation barriers. As these systems continue to evolve, they will play an increasingly critical role in ensuring the reliability and efficiency of subsea operations.

Recommendations

Organizations implementing AI-driven predictive maintenance systems should adopt a comprehensive approach that addresses technical, organizational, and operational aspects of system deployment. A phased implementation strategy should begin with thorough assessment of existing infrastructure and organizational capabilities, followed by systematic deployment that prioritizes critical equipment and high-impact applications.

Technical implementation should focus on establishing robust data collection and processing infrastructure while ensuring system reliability and security. Organizations must invest in appropriate sensor technologies and communication systems capable of operating reliably in extreme subsea environments. System architecture should incorporate adequate redundancy and fail-safe mechanisms to maintain operational continuity under all conditions.

Organizational development requires comprehensive training programs and clear communication strategies to ensure effective system adoption. Organizations should establish dedicated teams for system management and maintenance while providing ongoing support for skill development. Change management strategies must address concerns about job security and role changes while emphasizing the benefits of enhanced operational capabilities.

Resource allocation must balance immediate implementation requirements with long-term sustainability considerations. Organizations should develop clear financial plans that account for both initial investment and ongoing operational costs. Regular review and optimization of resource allocation ensures continued system effectiveness while maintaining cost efficiency.

Risk management strategies should encompass both technical and operational aspects of system implementation. Organizations must establish comprehensive monitoring and maintenance protocols while ensuring adequate resources for contingency planning. Regular review and updating of risk management procedures helps maintain system reliability and operational effectiveness over time.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Popoola OA, Akinsanya MO, Nzeako G, Chukwurah EG, Okeke CD. The impact of automation on maritime workforce management: A conceptual framework. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research. 2024 May 4;6(5):1467-88.

[2] Ochulor OJ, Sofoluwe OO, Ukato A, Jambol DD. Challenges and strategic solutions in commissioning and start-up of subsea production systems. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews. 2024;11(1):031-9.

[3] Adenuga OD, Diemuodeke OE, Kuye AO. Development of Maintenance Management Strategy Based on Reliability Centered Maintenance for Marginal Oilfield Production Facilities. Engineering. 2023 Mar 14;15(3):143-62.

[4] Ajayi AM, Omokanye AO, Olowu O, Adeleye AO, Omole OM, Wada IU. Detecting insider threats in banking using AI-driven anomaly detection with a data science approach to cybersecurity.

[5] Shaik MA, Sneha P. Revolutionizing Infrastructure Resilience: AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance and Structural Health Monitoring.

[6] Fahrni L, Thies PR, Johanning L, Cowles J. Scope and feasibility of autonomous robotic subsea intervention systems for offshore inspection, maintenance and repair.

[7] Okpala C, Igbokwe N, Nwankwo CO. Revolutionizing Manufacturing: Harnessing the Power of Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Efficiency and Innovation. International Journal of Engineering Research and Development. 2023 Dec 5;19(6):18-25.

[8] Yazdi M. Maintenance strategies and optimization techniques. InAdvances in Computational Mathematics for Industrial System Reliability and Maintainability 2024 Feb 25 (pp. 43-58). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[9] Varadan VK, Varadan VV. Microsensors, microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), and electronics for smart structures and systems. Smart Materials and Structures. 2000 Dec 1;9(6):953.

[10] Zhu R, Boukerche A, Li D, Yang Q. Delay-aware and reliable medium access control protocols for UWSNs: Features, protocols, and classification. Computer Networks. 2024 Jul 14:110631.

[11] Bumblauskas D, Gemmill D, Igou A, Anzengruber J. Smart Maintenance Decision Support Systems (SMDSS) based on corporate big data analytics. Expert systems with applications. 2017 Dec 30;90:303-17.

[12] Zhao F, Guibas LJ. Wireless sensor networks: an information processing approach. Morgan Kaufmann; 2004 Jul 6.

[13] Ahmad T, Chen H. A review on machine learning forecasting growth trends and their real-time applications in different energy systems. Sustainable Cities and Society. 2020 Mar 1;54:102010.

[14] Omokanye AO, Ajayi AM, Olowu O, Adeleye AO, Chianumba EC, Omole OM. AI-powered financial crime prevention with cybersecurity, IT, and data science in modern banking.

[15] Bouchachia A. Fuzzy classification in dynamic environments. Soft Computing. 2011 May;15:1009-22.

[16] Akyildiz IF, Wang P, Lin SC. SoftWater: Software-defined networking for next-generation underwater communication systems. Ad Hoc Networks. 2016 Aug 1;46:1-1.

[17] Howe BM, Angove M, Aucan J, Barnes CR, Barros JS, Bayliff N, Becker NC, Carrilho F, Fouch MJ, Fry B, Jamelot A. SMART subsea cables for observing the earth and ocean, mitigating environmental hazards, and supporting the blue economy. Frontiers in Earth Science. 2022 Feb 7;9:775544.

[18] Islam MS. Navigating modern era at sea: legal challenges and opportunities of unmanned and autonomous shipping. AI and Ethics. 2024 Aug 26:1-4.

[19] Sivathanu G, Wright CP, Zadok E. Ensuring data integrity in storage: Techniques and applications. InProceedings of the 2005 ACM workshop on Storage security and survivability 2005 Nov 11 (pp. 26-36).

[20] Ijiga OE, Malekian R, Chude-Okonkwo UA. Enabling emergent configurations in the industrial Internet of Things for oil and gas explorations: A survey. Electronics. 2020 Aug 14;9(8):1306.

[21] Yu W, Liang F, He X, Hatcher WG, Lu C, Lin J, Yang X. A survey on the edge computing for the Internet of Things. IEEE access. 2017 Nov 29;6:6900-19.

[22] Ajayi AM, Omokanye AO, Olowu O, Adeleye AO, Omole OM, Wada IU. Detecting insider threats in banking using AI-driven anomaly detection with a data science approach to cybersecurity

[23] Yucesan YA, Dourado A, Viana FA. A survey of modeling for prognosis and health management of industrial equipment. Advanced Engineering Informatics. 2021 Oct 1;50:101404.

[24] Chen Y, Yuan L. Forecasting Mooring Tension of Semi-Submersible Oil Production and Storage Platform Using a Novel Transformer Neural Network Model. InInternational Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering 2024 Jun 9 (Vol. 87783, p. V001T01A001). American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

[25] Liu Y, Ren S, Wang X, Zhou M. Temporal Logical Attention Network for Log-Based Anomaly Detection in Distributed Systems. Sensors. 2024 Dec 12;24(24):7949.

[26] Sakr F. Tiny Machine Learning Environment: Enabling Intelligence on Constrained Devices.

[27] Abdelkader S, Amissah J, Kinga S, Mugerwa G, Emmanuel E, Mansour DE, Bajaj M, Blazek V, Prokop L. Securing modern power systems: Implementing comprehensive strategies to enhance resilience and reliability against cyber-attacks. Results in engineering. 2024 Jul 30:102647.

[28] Kedziora DJ, Musial K, Gabrys B. Autonoml: Towards an integrated framework for autonomous machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.12600. 2020 Dec 23.

[29] Cloke HL, Pappenberger F, Smith PJ, Wetterhall F. How do I know if I've improved my continental scale flood early warning system?. Environmental Research Letters. 2017 Mar 28;12(4):044006.

[30] Jemth R. Edge Computing Targeted Data Profiling for Assessing the Quality of Sensor Data.

[31] Yao H, Qin R, Chen X. Unmanned aerial vehicle for remote sensing applications—A review. Remote Sensing. 2019 Jun 18;11(12):1443.

[32] Ajayi AM, Omokanye AO, Olowu O, Adeleye AO, Omole OM, Wada IU. Detecting insider threats in banking using AI-driven anomaly detection with a data science approach to cybersecurity

[33] Hussain M, Zhang T, Seema M. Adoption of big data analytics for energy pipeline condition assessment. International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping. 2023 Sep 16:105061.

[34] Cilluffo G, Gandhi M. Nuclear Power Balance of Plant Corrosion Management Strategic Perspectives. InNACE CORROSION 2018 Apr 15 (pp. NACE-2018). NACE.

[35] Mahfoud H, Moutaoukil O, Toum Benchekroun M, Latif A. Real-Time Predictive Maintenance-Based Process Parameters: Towards an Industrial Sustainability Improvement. InInternational Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems for Sustainable Development 2023 Oct 15 (pp. 18-34). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[36] Yazdi M. Maintenance strategies and optimization techniques. InAdvances in Computational Mathematics for Industrial System Reliability and Maintainability 2024 Feb 25 (pp. 43-58). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[37] Roy R, Stark R, Tracht K, Takata S, Mori M. Continuous maintenance and the future–Foundations and technological challenges. Cirp Annals. 2016 Jan 1;65(2):667-88.

[38] George AS. AI-Enabled Intelligent Manufacturing: A Path to Increased Productivity, Quality, and Insights. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication. 2024 Aug 25;2(4):50-63.

[39] Setyadi A, Soekotjo S, Lestari SD, Pawirosumarto S, Damaris A. Trends and Opportunities in Sustainable Manufacturing: A Systematic Review of Key Dimensions from 2019 to 2024. Sustainability. 2025 Jan 20;17(2):789.

[40] Eti MC, Ogaji SO, Probert SD. Reducing the cost of preventive maintenance (PM) through adopting a proactive reliability-focused culture. Applied energy. 2006 Nov 1;83(11):1235-48.

[41] Sullivan GP, Pugh R, Melendez AP. Operations and maintenance best practices--a guide to achieving operational efficiency. Pacific Northwest National Lab.(PNNL), Richland, WA (United States); 2002 Dec 31.

[42] Azzaky NS, Salimah A, Saputri CR. Revolutionizing Business: The Role of AI in Driving Industry 4.0. TechComp Innovations: Journal of Computer Science and Technology. 2024 Jun 18;1(1):28-37.

[43] Evans PC, Annunziata M. Industrial internet: Pushing the boundaries. General Electric Reports. 2012 Nov 26:488-508.

[44] Ake A. Enhancing US Energy Sector Performance Through Advanced Data-Driven Analytical Frameworks.

[45] Rios MP, Caiado RG, Vignon YR, Corseuil ET, Santos PI. Optimising Maintenance Planning and Integrity in Offshore Facilities Using Machine Learning and Design Science: A Predictive Approach. Applied Sciences. 2024 Nov 25;14(23):10902.

[46] Wynn RB, Huvenne VA, Le Bas TP, Murton BJ, Connelly DP, Bett BJ, Ruhl HA, Morris KJ, Peakall J, Parsons DR, Sumner EJ. Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs): Their past, present and future contributions to the advancement of marine geoscience. Marine geology. 2014 Jun 1;352:451-68.

[47] Opara-Martins J, Sahandi R, Tian F. Implications of integration and interoperability for enterprise cloud-based applications. InCloud Computing: 6th International Conference, CloudComp 2015, Daejeon, South Korea, October 28-29, 2015, Revised Selected Papers 6 2016 (pp. 213-223). Springer International Publishing.

[48] Gadde H. AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance in Relational Database Systems. International Journal of Machine Learning Research in Cybersecurity and Artificial Intelligence. 2021;12(1):386-409.

[49] Elkahlout M, Karaja MB, Elsharif AA, Dheir IM, Abunasser BS, Abu-Naser SS. AI-Driven Organizational Change: Transforming Structures and Processes in the Modern Workplace.

[50] Jambol DD, Sofoluwe OO, Ukato A, Ochulor OJ. Transforming equipment management in oil and gas with AI-Driven predictive maintenance. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024 May 5;5(5):1090-112.

[51] Anyebe AP, Yeboah OK, Bakinson OI, Adeyinka TY, Okafor FC. Optimizing Carbon Capture Efficiency through AI-Driven Process Automation for Enhancing Predictive Maintenance and CO2 Sequestration in Oil and Gas Facilities. American Journal of Environment and Climate. 2024;3(3):44-58.

[52] Erhueh OV, Nwakile C, Akano OA, Aderamo AT, Hanson E. Advanced maintenance strategies for energy infrastructure: Lessons for optimizing rotating machinery. Global Journal of Research in Science and Technology. 2024 Oct;2(02):065-93.

[53] Deverell A, Lassen AH. The challenge of managing knowledge in innovative organizations: internal versus external knowledge acquisition. InThe Transfer and Diffusion of Information Technology for Organizational Resilience: IFIP TC8 WG 8.6 International Working Conference, June 7–10, 2006, Galway, Ireland 2006 (pp. 157-178). Springer US.

[54] Khan RS, Sirazy MR, Das R, Rahman S. An ai and ml-enabled framework for proactive risk mitigation and resilience optimization in global supply chains during national emergencies. Sage Science Review of Applied Machine Learning. 2022;5(2):127-44.

[55] Zio E. The future of risk assessment. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2018 Sep 1;177:176-90.

[56] Plevris V, Papazafeiropoulos G. AI in Structural Health Monitoring for Infrastructure Maintenance and Safety. Infrastructures. 2024 Dec 7;9(12):225.

[57] Oakland JS. Total quality management and operational excellence: text with cases. Routledge; 2014 Apr 24.

[58] Fischer RJ, Halibozek EP, Walters DC. Contingency planning emergency response and safety. Introduction to security. 2018 Oct 19:249.

[59] Graham J, Kaye D. A risk management approach to business continuity: Aligning business continuity and corporate governance. Rothstein Publishing; 2015 Feb 20.

[60] How ML, Cheah SM. Business Renaissance: Opportunities and challenges at the dawn of the Quantum Computing Era. Businesses. 2023 Nov 9;3(4):585-605.

[61] Wilson A, Anwar MR. The Future of Adaptive Machine Learning Algorithms in High-Dimensional Data Processing. International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence. 2024 Nov 22;3(1):97-107.

[62] Marwala T. Digital Versus Quantum Computing. InThe Balancing Problem in the Governance of Artificial Intelligence 2024 Nov 13 (pp. 153-169). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

[63] Özkan C, Şahin S. AI Applications in Real-Time Edge Processing: Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Enhanced Efficiency, Low-Latency Decision Making, and Scalability in Distributed Systems.

[64] Colombo AW, Karnouskos S. Towards the factory of the future: A service-oriented cross-layer infrastructure. ICT shaping the world: a scientific view. 2009 Apr;65:81.

[65] Menon K, Kärkkäinen H, Wuest T, Gupta JP. Industrial internet platforms: A conceptual evaluation from a product lifecycle management perspective. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture. 2019 Apr;233(5):1390-401.

[66] Ariyibi KO, Bello OF, Adediran OF, Paul A. The application of blockchain technology to improve tax compliance and ensure transparency in global transactions.